



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/761,350 | 01/22/2004 | Masanao Furukawa | D-1358CON 3430 | | |
| 75 | 590 08/16/2004 | EXAMINER | | | |
| HAUPTMAN KANESAKA BERNER PATENT AGENTS, LLP | | | CYGAN, MICHAEL T | | |
| 1700 Diagonal Road Suite 310 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Alexandria, VA 22314 | | | 2855 | | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 2 | 2 |
|---|---|
|---|---|

| | | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|-----------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/761,35 | 50 | FURUKAWA, MASANAO | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Michael C | | 2855 | <u> </u> | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply | ppears on the | cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | dress | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no ever eply within the state od will apply and wi ute, cause the app | ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from l ication to become ABANDONEI | nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> | August 2004 | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allow | vance except | for formal matters, pro | secution as to the | merits is | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under | r Ex parte Qu | <i>ayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-7 and 9</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd | rawn from co | nsideration. | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1,4-7 and 9</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)[_] | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | i/or election re | equirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| • — | The specification is objected to by the Exami | | | | | | | |
| 10)🛛 | 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| 11)[| The path or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. No | te the attached Office | Action or form P i | . O-152. | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/300,581. | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | and allegings detailed embe determined an | J. J. 110 00111 | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 | 181 | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | | O-152) | | | |
| | r No(s)/Mail Date | , | 6) Other: | ., | , | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1, 4-6, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wada (US 5,803,951). Wada discloses the claimed invention, a gas chromatograph comprising a sample chamber [14], split gas path [18] having control valve [19], pressure sensor [16], and control unit [25]. The control unit includes a comparison device such that the valve is controlled to be opened to such a degree that a predetermined chamber pressure (as measured by the pressure sensor) is maintained (see abstract). The control unit further includes a closed-loop control which quickly maintains a preset opening degree of the valve [14] while the comparison device determines that the increment in internal pressure exceeds a preset threshold value during a sample injection, and then returning to the initial value, optionally with the use of a timer to measure a specified length of time (see abstract and column 4 lines 1-65). See entire disclosure, especially Figures 1-3 and columns 3-4.

Art Unit: 2855

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wada (US 5,803,951). Wada teaches the claimed invention except for the use of an autosampler. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an autosampler in the invention taught by Wada to perform the sample injection, since autosamplers are notoriously well known in the gas chromatography art to be more repeatable, reliable, and controllable than manual injection. Furthermore, it has been held that broadly providing a mechanical or automatic means to replace manual activity which has accomplished the same result involves only routine skill in the art. See *In re Venner*, 120 USPQ 192.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 02 August 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Figure 5 of Wada shows that the pressure increment is compared to a threshold (step S4) to initiate a temporary stopping of the control system.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cygan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2175. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6 M-Th, alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,350 Page 5

Art Unit: 2855

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL CYGAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER